STATE STREET GLOBAL State Street Sustainable Climate US Corporate Bond Fund

31 May 2024

Share Class

[S] Institutional Investors

Fund Objective

The objective of the Fund is to generate capital growth over the long term through investment in the fixed-rate, investment grade, USD-denominated corporate bond

Investment Strategy

The investment policy of the Fund is to invest in companies bonds which exhibit lower carbon emissions in the way of current emissions and future emissions (measured by fossil fuel reserves), green labelled bonds and climate-aligned issuers bonds and corporate bond securities issued by companies that are better positioned for the physical risks posed by climate change while screening out securities based on an assessment of their adherence to ESG criteria (i.e. international norms in relation to environmental protection, human rights, labour standards, anticorruption, controversial weapons and tobacco).

The Investment Manager, on behalf of the Fund, will invest actively using the Sustainable Climate Corporate Bond Strategy as further described in the "Investment Strategies" section of the Prospectus.

The performance of the Fund will be measured against the Bloomberg US Corporate Bond Index.

Benchmark

Bloomberg U.S. Credit Corp

Structure

Investment Company

UCITS Compliant

Domicile

Luxembourg

Fund Facts

| nption | | |
|-------------------|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| е | | |
| nt ption +2 | | |
| United States | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| May | | |
| | | |
| ау | | |
| | | |
| ć | | |

¹ Indicative as at the date of this factsheet and is subject to change

Performance

| Annualised | Benchmark | Fund Gross | Difference | Fund Net | Difference |
|---------------------|-----------|------------|------------|----------|------------|
| 1 Year (%) | 4.40 | 4.37 | -0.03 | 4.19 | -0.21 |
| 3 Year (%) | -2.71 | -2.60 | 0.11 | -2.83 | -0.11 |
| 5 Year (%) | - | - | - | - | - |
| Since Inception (%) | -2.33 | -2.21 | 0.12 | -2.52 | -0.19 |
| Cumulative | | | | | |
| 1 Month (%) | 1.87 | 1.90 | 0.03 | 1.88 | 0.01 |
| 3 Month (%) | 0.56 | 0.54 | -0.03 | 0.48 | -0.08 |
| 1 Year (%) | 4.40 | 4.37 | -0.03 | 4.19 | -0.21 |
| 3 Year (%) | -7.92 | -7.61 | 0.31 | -8.24 | -0.32 |
| 5 Year (%) | - | - | - | - | - |
| Since Inception (%) | -6.89 | -6.55 | 0.34 | -7.44 | -0.55 |
| Calendar | | | | | |
| 2024 (year to date) | -1.12 | -1.20 | -0.09 | -1.28 | -0.17 |
| 2023 | 8.52 | 8.61 | 0.09 | 8.39 | -0.13 |
| 2022 | -15.76 | -15.46 | 0.30 | -15.67 | 0.09 |
| 2021 | 3.00 | 3.02 | 0.01 | 2.58 | -0.42 |

Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.
Investing involves risk including the risk of loss of capital.

The performance figures contained herein are provided on a gross and net of fees basis. Gross of fees do not reflect the deduction of advisory or other fees which could reduce the return. Index returns reflect capital gains and losses, income, and the reinvestment of dividends. The performance includes the reinvestment of dividends and other corporate earnings and is calculated in the currency stated in the Fund Facts.

Calendar year inception performance returns will be partial for the year where the inception date for either the Fund in question or the historic performance-linked Fund (whichever is the earliest) falls within that period.


The calculation method for value added returns may show rounding differences.

When the Fund is closed according to its official Net Asset Value (NAV) calendar but, nonetheless, a significant portion of the underlying security prices can be determined, a Technical NAV is calculated. Past Performance indicated herein has therefore been calculated using a Technical NAV when required, as well as the official NAV of the Fund as at each other business day for the relevant period.

Previous to 1 December 2021, this Fund's Benchmark was the Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Credit Corp Index.

Source: SSGA. All data is as at 31/05/2024

Annualised Performance



| Fund Characteristics | Fund | Benchmark |
|-------------------------------|-------|-----------|
| Number of Issues* | 1,782 | 8,000 |
| Average Yield To Worst (%) | 5.51 | 5.52 |
| Yield to Maturity (%) | 5.51 | 5.52 |
| Current Yield (%) | 4.39 | 4.60 |
| Average Coupon (%) | 4.06 | 4.29 |
| Option Adjusted Spread (bps) | 84.31 | 84.64 |
| Effective Duration (Years) | 6.89 | 6.92 |
| Average Maturity (Years) | 10.57 | 10.71 |
| Effective Convexity | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Average Credit Rating Quality | BAA1 | BAA1 |

| Ratios | 3 Years |
|------------------------|---------|
| Standard Deviation (%) | 9.26 |
| Tracking Error (%) | 0.19 |
| Beta | 1.00 |

^{*} Number of Issues quoted for the Fund may include Cash related items.


| Country Allocation | Fund (%) | Benchmark (%) |
|--------------------|-------------|------------------|
| United States | 83.72 | 85.23 |
| United Kingdom | 3.84 | 4.13 |
| Canada | 3.53 | 3.28 |
| Japan | 2.21 | 1.93 |
| Australia | 1.23 | 0.90 |
| Spain | 1.06 | 0.67 |
| Belgium | 1.00 | 0.71 |
| China | 0.70 | 0.38 |
| Germany | 0.55 | 0.43 |
| Ireland | 0.44 | 0.41 |
| Other | 1.72 | 1.95 |
| Total | 100.00 | 100.00 |

| Total | 100.00 | 100.00 | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|------------------|--|
| Credit Rating Exposure Allocation | Fund (%) | Benchmark (%) | |
| Aaa | 1.92 | 1.10 | |
| Aa | 6.64 | 6.76 | |
| A | 45.50 | 45.13 | |
| Baa | 45.94 | 47.01 | |
| Not Rated | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| | | | |

100.00

100.00

| Sector Allocation | Fund (%) | Benchmark (%) |
|------------------------|-------------|------------------|
| Corporate - Industrial | 57.85 | 57.62 |
| Corporate - Finance | 36.02 | 33.12 |
| Corporate - Utility | 5.28 | 9.26 |
| Cash | 0.85 | - |
| Total | 100.00 | 100.00 |



For More Information

Visit our website at ssga. com/cash or contact your representative State Street Global Advisors' office.

SSGA Belgium

+32 (0) 2 663 2016

SSGA France

+33 (0) 1 44 45 40 48

SSGA Germany

+49 (0) 89 55878 999

SSGA Ireland +353 (87) 469 8361

SSGA Italy

+39 02 3206 6121

SSGA Middle East & Africa

+971 2 245 9000

SSGA Netherlands

+31 (0) 20 718 1701


SSGA Switzerland

+41 (0) 44 245 7099

SSGA United Kingdom

+44 (0) 20 3395 2333

| Maturity Allocation | Fund (%) | Benchmark (%) |
|---------------------|-------------|------------------|
| 0 - 1 Year | 0.85 | - |
| 1 - 3 Years | 20.17 | 21.01 |
| 3 - 5 Years | 17.21 | 17.57 |
| 5 - 7 Years | 13.13 | 12.70 |
| 7 - 10 Years | 15.79 | 15.58 |
| 10 - 20 Years | 12.36 | 12.47 |
| > 20 Years | 20.49 | 20.67 |



Source: SSGA

Total

Characteristics, Credit Rating Exposure Allocation, country allocations and sectors shown are as of the date indicated at the top of this factsheet and are subject to change. Within Country Allocation, 'Other' denotes the consolidation of any countries which are not already included in the Fund's top 10. Credit quality rating is based on an average of Moody's, S&P, and Fitch.

PLEASE NOTE THAT FULL DETAILS OF UNDERLYING FUND HOLDINGS CAN BE FOUND ON WWW.SSGA.COM

Marketing Communication – General Access. Professional Clients Only.

This material is for your private information. The information provided does not constitute investment advice as such term is defined under the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (2014/65/EU) or applicable Swiss regulation and it should not be relied on as such. There is no representation or warranty as to the accuracy of the information and State Street shall have no liability for decisions based on such information.

Information and State Street shall have no liability for decisions based on such information.

Please refer to the Fund's latest Key Information Document (KID)/Key Investor Information Document (KID) and Prospectus before making any final investment decision.

The latest English version of the prospectus and the KID/KIID can be found at www.ssga.com. A summary of investor rights can be found here: https://www.ssga.com/
library-content/products/fund-docs/summary-of-investor-rights/ssga-investors-rights-summary-template-non-etf-Lux.pdf Note that the Management Company may decide to terminate the arrangements made for marketing and proceed with de-notification in compliance with Article 93a of Directive 2009/65/EC.

This communication is directed at professional clients (this includes Eligible Counterparties) who are deemed both Knowledgeable and Experienced in matters relating to

The information contained in this communication is not a research recommendation or 'investment research' and is classified as a 'Marketing Communication' in accordance with the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (2014/65/EU) or applicable Swiss regulation. This means that this marketing communication (a) has not been prepared in accordance with legal requirements designed to promote the independence of investment research (b) is not subject to any prohibition on dealing ahead of the dissemination of investment research. of investment research.

of investment research.
"Bloomberg Indices are service marks of Bloomberg Finance L.P. and its affiliates, including Bloomberg Index Services Limited ("BISL"), the administrator of the index (collectively, "Bloomberg") and have been licensed for use for certain purposes by State Street Bank and Trust Company, through its State Street Global Advisors division ("SSGA"). Bloomberg is not affiliated with SSGA, and Bloomberg does not approve, endorse, review, or recommend any SSGA product. Bloomberg does not guarantee the timeliness, accurateness, or completeness of any data or information relating to any SSGA product.
The trademarks and service marks referenced herein are the property of their respective owners. Third party data providers make no warranties or representations of any kind relating to the accuracy, completeness or timeliness of the data and have no liability for damages of any kind relating to the use of such data.


The whole or any part of this work may not be reproduced, copied or transmitted or any of its contents disclosed to third parties without SSGA's express written consent.

R-Factor™ Summary

 $R\text{-Factor}^{\mathsf{TM}}$ is built off a transparent scoring methodology that leverages the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB) Materiality Map, corporate governance codes, and inputs from four best-in-class ESG data providers. R-Factor supports the development of sustainable capital markets by giving investors the ability to invest in solutions that integrate financially material ESG data while incentivizing companies to improve their ESG practices and disclosure in areas that matter.

Fund R-Factor Profile

| Not Available | 0.07% |
|-------------------|--------|
| Laggard | 0.00% |
| Underperformer | 2.04% |
| Average Performer | 13.97% |
| Outperformer | 29.14% |
| Leader | 54.79% |



Source: SSGA. Holdings as of 31 May 2024, R-Factor data as of 30 Apr 2024.

R-Factor

| R-Factor Summary | Fund |
|------------------|------|
| R-Factor | 69 |

Source: SSGA. Holdings as of 31 May 2024, R-Factor data as of 30 Apr 2024.

| Fund Coverage | Count | Percent of Total Securities | Percent of Total Market Value |
|------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| R-Factor Securities Coverage | 1,776 | 99.83% | 99.93% |
| Total Number of Securities in Fund | 1,779 | | |

Source: SSGA. Holdings as of 31 May 2024, R-Factor data as of 30 Apr 2024.

| Top 10 Positions | Fund Weight | R-Factor Rating |
|-----------------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| Bank of America Corp | 3.15% | 64 |
| JPMorgan Chase & Co | 3.10% | 76 |
| Morgan Stanley | 2.40% | 78 |
| Goldman Sachs Group Inc/The | 1.90% | 76 |
| Citigroup Inc | 1.65% | 76 |
| AT&T Inc | 1.41 % | 74 |
| Verizon Communications Inc | 1.38% | 79 |
| HSBC Holdings PLC | 1.38% | 62 |
| Oracle Corp | 1.29% | 77 |
| Comcast Corp | 1.24% | 73 |

Source: SSGA. Holdings as of 31 May 2024, R-Factor data as of 30 Apr 2024.

Top 5 R-Factor Ratings

| HP Inc | 0.31% | 100 |
|-----------------------------|-------|-----|
| Novartis Capital Corp | 0.25% | 93 |
| GlaxoSmithKline Capital Inc | 0.06% | 92 |
| GlaxoSmithKline Capital PLC | 0.02% | 92 |
| Cisco Systems Inc | 0.24% | 92 |
| | | |

Source: SSGA. Holdings as of 31 May 2024, R-Factor data as of 30 Apr 2024.

Bottom 5 R-Factor Ratings

| zottom o m uotom mumgo | | |
|----------------------------------|-------|----|
| MidAmerican Energy Co | 0.18% | 33 |
| Burlington Northern Santa Fe LLC | 0.78% | 33 |
| PacifiCorp | 0.33% | 36 |
| Netflix Inc | 0.13% | 38 |
| Everest Reinsurance Holdings Inc | 0.06% | 39 |

Source: SSGA. Holdings as of 31 May 2024, R-Factor data as of 30 Apr 2024.

The R-Factor summary reflects certain ESG characteristics only, and does not reflect the fund's performance. Please see Important Information section for more information and definitions of the ESG Metrics presented. The stocks mentioned are not necessarily holdings invested in by SSGA. References to specific company stocks should not be construed as recommendations or investment advice. The statements and opinions are subject to change at any time, based on market and other conditions.

31 May 2024


Climate Metrics

| Fund |
|-----------|
| 53.70 |
| 99.20% |
| 99.83% |
| 0.00 |
| 0.12% |
| 0.59 |
| 11.68% |
| 4.23% |
| 7.45% |
| Fund |
| 75.10 |
| 6,283.64* |
| 24.43 |
| 63.70 |
| |

Source: State Street Global Advisors, S&P Trucost, FactSet, Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD). The results are estimates based on assumptions and analysis made by State Street Global Advisors. They are not intended to represent actual results of any offering. Actual results may differ. * The TCFD Total Carbon Emission metric allocates emissions to investors based on an equity ownership approach. In the case of commingled funds, the results represent the environmental responsibility of the entire fund's assets under management. For individual unitholder's responsibility, an apportioned responsibility can be calculated based on the individual holding percentage. **The metric is not used to compare portfolios and benchmarks because the data is not normalised.

Controversy Profile

Fund Controversy Profile



Source: Sustainalytics/SSGA as of 30 Apr 2024
Sustainalytics' Controversies Research is designed to identify companies involved in incidents and events that may pose a business or reputation risk due to the potential impact on stakeholders, the environment or the company's operations. Sustainalytics assesses companies' involvement in incidents with negative environmental, social and governance (ESG) implications. This research is based on the information from a wide range of international and local news and NGO sources. Scale ranges from Category 0 (no evidence) to Category 5 (most severe). Securities where the controversy score was unavailable were excluded from the calculations above, and the fund was reweighted. The table may not represent 100% of the fund.

As of 31 May 2024

Controversies and Business Involvement

| | Fund |
|------------------------------------|-------|
| ESG Controversies | 0.00% |
| Controversial Weapons | 0.00% |
| UNGC Violations | 0.00% |
| Civilian Arms | 0.00% |
| Tobacco | 0.00% |
| Thermal Coal | 0.00% |
| Swedish Ethical Council Violations | 0.00% |
| Oil Sands Extraction | 0.00% |
| Artic Oil & Gas Exploration | 0.00% |
| | |

Source: SSGA ESG screening Methodology as of 30 Apr 2024.

ESG Risk Rating Score

| | ruiia |
|---|-------|
| ESG Risk Rating Score | 21.63 |
| Coverage (Market Value Percent) | 98.60 |
| Coverage (Number of Securities Percent) | 98.54 |

Source: Sustainalytics/SSGA as of 30 Apr 2024

31 May 2024

R-Factor™

R-Factor™ is an ESG scoring system that leverages commonly accepted materiality frameworks to generate a unique ESG score for listed companies. The score is powered by ESG data from four different providers in an effort to improve overall coverage and remove biases inherent in existing scoring methodologies. R-Factor™ is designed to put companies in the driver's seat to help create sustainable markets.

R-Factor™ Scores are comparable across industries. The ESG and Corporate Governance (CorpGov) scores are designed to be based on issues that are material to a company's industry and regulatory region. A uniform grading scale allows for interpretation of the final company level score to allow for comparison across companies. The R-Factor™ scoring process comprises two underlying components. The first component is based on the framework published by the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board ("SASB"), which is used for all ESG aspects of the score other than those relating to corporate governance issues. The SASB framework attempts to identify ESG risks that are financially material to the issuer-based on its industry classification. This component of the R-Factor™ score is determined using only those metrics from the ESG data providers that specifically address ESG risks identified by the SASB framework as being financially material to the issuer-based on its industry classification.

The second component of the score, the CorpGov score, is generated using region-specific corporate governance codes developed by investors or regulators. The governance codes describe minimum corporate governance expectations of a particular region and typically address topics such as shareholder rights, board independence and executive compensation. This component of the R-Factor™ uses data provided by ISS Governance to assign a governance score to issuers according to these governance codes. Responsible-Factor (R Factor) scoring is designed by State Street to reflect certain ESG characteristics and does not represent investmen

Michael State Configurations. A portionic's Edg Chieffa may result in the percentil and percentil a 0-100 rating scale.

OF 100 rating scale.

Discrepancy between the number of holdings in the R-Factor™ Summary versus the number of holdings in the regular reporting package may arise as the R-Factor™ Summary is counted based on number of issuers rather than number of holdings in the portfolio.

ESG Controversy

ESG Controversy indicators form a critical part of an issuer's ESG Rating. A Controversy is a set of Events under one ESG topic that is structured based on stakeholder impact. There is no additional assessment applied at the Controversy level. The Controversy Rating assumes the most severe rating of all Events under the Controversy indicator. For example, if a Controversy indicator has three Event Ratings under it, with two Event Ratings at Category 2 and one at Category 3, the Controversy Rating automatically becomes Category 3. A Controversy indicator is also rated on a scale of 1 to 5 and each rating is equivalent to a raw score with an assigned weight. The weighted score of the Controversy indicator feeds directly into the ESG Rating. Controversy indicators carry relatively higher weights.

Weighted Average Carbon Intensity - Measured in Metric tons CO2e/USD millions revenues. The weighted average of individual company intensities (operational and first-tier supply chain emissions over revenues), weighted by the proportion of each constituent in the index.

Fossil Fuel Reserves (also referred to as Total Reserves CO2 Emissions): Measured in Metric tons of CO2.The carbon footprint that could be generated if the proven and probable fossil fuel reserves owned by index constituents were burned per USD million invested. Unlike carbon intensity and carbon emissions. The S&P Trucost Total Reserves.

supply chain emissions over revenúes), weighted by the proportion of each constituent in the index.

Possil Fuel Reserves (also referred to as Total Reserves CO2 Emissions): Measured in Metric tons of CO2. The carbon footprint that could be generated if the proven and probable fossil fuel reserves womed by index constituents were burned per USD million invested. Unlike carbon intensity and carbon-intensive industries. Those companies are assigned Total Reserves Emissions metric is a very specific indicator that is only applicable to a very selected number of companies in extractive and carbon-intensive industries. Those companies are assigned Total Reserves Emissions numerical results by Trucost, whereas the rest of the holdings in other industries do not have numerical scores and are instead displaying "MARKET", blank values. In order to present a more comprehensive overview of a portfolio's overall weighted average fossil fuel reserves. State Street Global Advisors replaces blank results with "zeros". While that might slightly underestimate the final weighted average volume, it provides a more realistic result, given that most companies in broad market indices have no ownership of fossil fuel reserves.

Rrown Revenue (%): Percentage of revenues from "brown" sectors, extractive sectors as classified by S&P Trucost

ISS ESG Adaptation Score: The score uses the Position on Climate Change and Disclosure of Climate Change Risks and Mitigation Strategy metrics. The Position on Climate Change indicator evaluates whether the company's responsibility in this context and its commitment to contribute to the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. The Disclosure of Climate Change, and whether it has respective adaptation strategies in place. The company assesses most important industry risks with regard to climate change, and whether it has respective adaptation strategies in place. The company shall disclose and quantify all relevant industry-specific risks (as defined by the analyst in charge of the industry) with regar

Climatebonds. neustandard/taxonomy.

TCFD Weighted Average Carbon Intensity - Portfolio's exposure to carbon-intensive companies, expressed in tonnes CO2e / \$M revenue. Scope 1 and Scope 2 GHG emissions are allocated based on portfolio weights (the current value of the investment relative to the current portfolio value).

TCFD Total Carbon Emissions - The absolute greenhouse gas emissions associated with a portfolio, expressed in tonnes CO2e. Scope 1 and Scope 2 GHG emissions are allocated to investors based on an equity ownership approach.

TCFD Carbon Footprint - Total carbon emissions for a portfolio normalized by the market value of the portfolio, expressed in tonnes CO2e / \$M invested. Scope 1 and Scope 2 GHG emissions are allocated to investors based on an equity ownership approach.

TCFD Carbon Intensity - Volume of carbon emissions per million dollars of revenue (carbon efficiency of a portfolio), expressed in tonnes CO2e / \$M revenue. Scope 1 and Scope 2 GHG emissions are allocated to investors based on an equity ownership approach.

Data and metrics have been sourced as follows from the following contributors as of the date of this report, and are subject to their disclosures below. All other data has been

Sustainalytics Sections: Portfolio Controversies Profile and ESG Risk Rating Score - Part of this publication may contain Sustainalytics proprietary information that may not be

Sustainalytics Sections: Portfolio Controversies Profile and ESG Risk Rating Score - Part of this publication may contain Sustainalytics proprietary information that may not be reproduced, used, disseminated, modified nor published in any manner without the express written consent of Sustainalytics. Nothing contained in this publication shall be construed as to make a representation or warranty, express or implied, regarding the advisability to invest in or include companies in investable universes and/or portfolios. The information is provided "as is" and, therefore Sustainalytics assumes no responsibility for errors or omissions. Sustainalytics accepts no liability for damage arising from the use of this publication or information contained herein in any manner whatsoever.

Trucost Sections Carbon Intensity (Direct+Indirect), Total Carbon Emissions, Carbon Footprint. Trucost® is a registered trademark of S&P Trucost Limited ("Trucost") and is used under license. The ESG Report is/are not in any way sponsored, endorsed, sold or promoted by Trucost or its affiliates (together the "Licensor Parties") and none of the Licensor Parties make any claim, prediction, warranty or representation whatsoever, expressly or impliedly, either as to (i) the results to be obtained from the use of Trucost data with the report, or (ii) the suitability of the Trucost data for the purpose to which it is being put in connection with the report.

Name of the Licensor Parties spall be liable (whether in None of the Licensor Parties provide any financial or investment advice or recommendation in relation to the report. None of the Licensor Parties shall be liable (whether in negligence or otherwise) to any person for any error in the Trucost data or under any obligation to advise any person of any error therein.