Insights
Liability-Driven
Investing

June 2024

A Capital Efficient Approach for Managing DB Plan Assets

Arkady Ho, CFA

Fixed Income Portfolio Specialist

Dane Smith

Head of North American Investment Strategy & Research

- "Capital efficiency" is a phrase used to describe the effective deployment of assets in achieving desired risk and return characteristics.
- Despite some flattening in the 10s30s curve year-to-date, the attractiveness of all-in yields and the expected decline in overall rate levels continue to make the case to be bullish on duration and to lock in attractive yield levels.
- By increasing allocation to long duration fixed income, DB plans can accomplish hedging objectives more efficiently.
- Reduced equity beta strategies, such as minimum volatility equities, can be used to manage a plan's overall beta to stocks and further improve its risk/return profile.

Following a 40-year bull market in fixed income, investors are now immersed in a new world in which yield is obtainable. Investment grade all-in yields have risen to 5.5% — the highest level since 2009 — and speculative grade all-in yields are at a healthy 8.0%.

However, it's worth considering that the end of the credit cycle is drawing nearer. While the timing is unclear, rates are poised to retrench (see: 2024 Mid-Year Global Market Outlook). In addition, structural forces such as aging demographics, slow productivity growth and disinflation from COVID-era peaks are set to put downward pressure on rates. Already, all-in yields have dwindled since the fall of 2023. The curve has remained inverted given CPI surprises at the start of 2024.

For pension plan sponsors, this means that they are entering a new environment with funding status strained. Already, the corporate pension plan funded status was at 103% in April 2024, versus 109% in January 2023.² With a potential unwind in some of the strong year-to-date performance in equity markets (see <u>US Equities Keep Rolling: Could It Really Be This Easy?</u>), plans could face a double whammy of lower equity asset values and lower yields. (A decline in yields would likely increase the value of liabilities more than assets, as liabilities tend to have a mix of assets, including equities and fixed income, while liability values are based on long duration high quality corporate discount curves.) Notably, even if equities continue their strong momentum, the funding headwinds could persist. In this piece, we explain why a capital efficient approach is a compelling way to increase the allocation to growth assets and hedge this gap.

Heading Off Funding Challenges While Managing Portfolio Risk

As plans progress down their de-risking glidepaths, an important objective is to hedge both the duration and credit spread exposures inherent in plan liabilities. And these exposures are substantial; for example, a hypothetical pension plan's liabilities may exhibit 14 years of duration, while assets have only 6 years. While many plans have already transitioned from US Aggregate (6 years of duration) to US Long Government Credit (13.7 years) or similar,³ these plans still embed a significant unhedged risk: If 40% of plan assets are invested in Long GC products, this still leaves an 8-year underweight duration position at the plan level (or even longer if a plan is underfunded). This inherent 8-year duration "bet" is meaningful. With rates expected to fall, plan sponsors must ask: How can I protect my plan's funding ratio against declining yields, and can I do so while conforming with my plan's risk appetite?

Capital Efficient LDI

Below, we outline two capital efficient LDI strategies for consideration in today's market environment.

Increasing Allocation to Long Duration Bonds

For plans that are de-risking, rather than simply shifting assets out of their existing equities allocation to fund an increased long government/credit allocation, we suggest that they consider further extending the duration of their fixed income allocation using long STRIPS. This allows them to increase their hedge of liabilities without changing their overall asset allocation. In Figure 1, we change the composition of a hypothetical plan's fixed income allocation from 40% Long GC to 20% US Strips 20+ year and 20% US Long Corporate — while leaving their 60% equities allocation untouched. We reference this portfolio as "Capital Efficient Portfolio 1". This provides several benefits to the plan, including: a substantive increase in fixed income duration, virtually no give-up in expected returns given today's higher yields, and a meaningful decline in projected funded status volatility.

Introducing Lower-Volatility Equities Introducing an equity allocation with less volatility (but comparable upside) provides similar benefits. The addition of a minimum volatility equity strategy greatly improves the efficient frontier of possible investment allocations. Our suggestion of increased allocation to long duration fixed income allowed for an improvement to the return/funded status volatility ratio. Adding a minimum volatility strategy, on the other hand, enables plans to further reduce funded status volatility while maintaining comparable return upside. This addition shifts the efficient frontier up and to the left. This happens because such strategies typically seek to provide market-equivalent returns over a full cycle by reducing market sensitivity and protecting against drawdowns, which can ultimately help to preserve a plan's capital base. While the return outcomes are similar over time to market-cap weighted equity allocations, minimum volatility equities can greatly improve a plan's ability to track liability returns because they reduce exposure to equity volatility.

Traditional

Traditional

Canital

Canital

Liobility

Figure 1

A Capital Efficient

Approach Can Provide
a Wide Range of Benefits
to DB Plans

Hypothetical Portfolio

| | Liability | 60/40 LDI Portfolio | 50/50 LDI Portfolio | Capital Efficient Portfolio 1 | Efficient Portfolio 2 |
|---|-----------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| US Long Government Credit (%) | _ | 40 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| US Long Corporate (%) | _ | 0 | 0 | 20 | 20 |
| US STRIPS 20+ Year (%) | _ | 0 | 0 | 20 | 20 |
| Global Developed Equities (%) | _ | 60 | 50 | 60 | 50 |
| Global Minimum Variance Equities (%) | _ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| | | | | | |
| Total Fixed Income (%) | _ | 40 | 50 | 40 | 40 |
| Equity (%) | _ | 60 | 50 | 60 | 60 |
| Total Portfolio (%) | _ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| | | | | | |
| Fixed Income Duration | 13.13 | 13.66 | 13.66 | 18.78 | 18.78 |
| Total Contribution to Duration ² | 13.13 | 5.19 | 6.49 | 7.14 | 7.14 |
| Duration Risk Hedged (%) | _ | 39.53 | 49.42 | 54.34 | 54.34 |
| | | | | | |
| Projected Return ³ | _ | 5.33 | 5.31 | 5.32 | 5.37 |
| Projected Funded Status Volatility (%) ⁴ | _ | 8.32 | 6.98 | 7.49 | 7.05 |
| Return/Funded Status Volatility | _ | 0.64 | 0.76 | 0.71 | 0.76 |

- 1 FTSE Pension Liability Index (intermediate).
- 2 Calculated assuming a 95 percent funded pension plan.
- 3 Based on fixed income index yields to worst and State Street Global Advisors long-term projections for equity returns.
- 4 Based on historical portfolio allocation returns versus the FTSE Pension Liability Index (intermediate) returns. Sources: FTSE, Bloomberg, MSOI, State Street Global Advisors analysis as of May 2024.

In "Capital Efficient Portfolio 2" (Figure 1), we have examined the benefits of increasing allocation to long duration bonds, as well as introducing minimum volatility stocks. The grid illustrates the incremental benefit in the return over funded status volatility ratio when both concepts are introduced into the portfolio.

Closing Thoughts

Every DB plan strategy should reflect the unique return goals, risk profile, and desired end game for the plan. We believe that many DB plans would greatly benefit from considering a capital efficient approach, which offers a greater ability to meet multi-faceted objectives. We've provided two examples of sample portfolios with duration extension and minimum volatility strategies. However, there are other capital efficiency strategies, including concepts for application in the not-for-profit space in which long duration assets hedge a substantial amount of equity beta risk. Here, the return of the negative stock/bond correlation may prove beneficial. These potential changes to a plan's asset allocation can markedly improve its risk/return profile. In particular, a capital efficient approach could mitigate risks in an environment where bond yields could fall and equity markets remain volatile.

Endnotes

- Based on the Bloomberg Intermediate Corporate Index, YTW, as of 05/31/2024, and the Bloomberg U.S. Corporate High Yield Index, YTW, as of 05/31/2024.
- 2 The Milliman Corporate Pension Fund Index.
- 3 As of May 31, 2024.

About State Street Global Advisors

Our clients are the world's governments, institutions and financial advisors. To help them achieve their financial goals we live our guiding principles each and every day:

- · Start with rigor
- · Build from breadth
- · Invest as stewards
- · Invent the future

For four decades, these principles have helped us be the quiet power in a tumultuous investing world and help millions of people secure their financial futures. This takes each of our employees in 28 offices around the world, and a firm-wide conviction that we can always do it better. As a result, we are the world's fourth-largest asset manager* with US \$4.34 trillion† under our care.

ssga.com

Marketing communication. For institutional use only.

State Street Global Advisors Global Entities

The information contained in this communication is not a research recommendation or 'investment research' and is classified as a 'Marketing Communication' in accordance with the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (2014/65/EU) or applicable Swiss regulation. This means that this marketing communication (a) has not been prepared in accordance with legal requirements designed to promote the independence of investment research (b) is not subject to any prohibition on dealing ahead of the dissemination of investment research.

The views expressed are the views of Arkady Ho, CFA and Dane Smith through June 6, 2024 and are subject to change based on market and other conditions. This document

contains certain statements that may be deemed forward-looking statements. Please note that any such statements are not guarantees of any future performance, and actual results or developments may differ materially from those projected.

Sample portfolio characteristics shown in Figures 1 is hypothetical and are based on the returns of the underlying market indices in the proportions shown. Market indices are unmanaged and not subject to fees and expenses, which would lower returns. Neither index performance nor sample portfolio performance is intended to represent the performance of any particular mutual fund, exchange-traded fund, or product offered by SSGA. SSGA has not managed any accounts or assets in the strategies represented by the sample portfolios. Actual performance may differ substantially from the hypothetical performance presented. Past performance is not a guarantee of future results.

The whole or any part of this work may not be reproduced, copied or transmitted or any of its

contents disclosed to third parties without SSGA's express written consent.

All information is from SSGA unless otherwise noted and has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but its accuracy is not guaranteed. There is no representation or warranty as to the current accuracy, reliability or completeness of, nor liability for, decisions based on such information and it should not be relied on as such.

The information provided does not constitute investment advice and it should not be relied on as such. It should not be considered a solicitation to buy or an offer to sell a security. It does not take into account any investor's particular investment objectives, strategies, tax status or investment horizon. You should consult your tax and financial advisor.

This communication is directed at professional clients (this includes eligible counterparties as defined by the appropriate EU regulator) who are deemed both knowledgeable and experienced in matters relating to investments. The products and services to which this

communication relates are only available to such persons and persons of any other description (including retail clients) should not rely on this communication.

Investing involves risk including the risk of loss of principal.

All the index performance results referred to are provided exclusively for comparison purposes only. It should not be assumed that they represent the performance of any particular investment.

The trademarks and service marks referenced herein are the property of their respective owners. Third party data providers make no warranties or representations of any kind relating to the accuracy, completeness or timeliness of the data and have no liability for damages of any kind relating to the use of such data.

© 2024 State Street Corporation.
All Rights Reserved.
ID2202001-3362682.81.GBL.INST 0624
Exp. Date: 06/30/2025



^{*} Pensions & Investments Research Center, as of December 31, 2022.

[†] This figure is presented as of March 31, 2024 and includes ETF AUM of \$1,360.89 billion USD of which approximately \$65.87 billion USD is in gold assets with respect to SPDR products for which State Street Global Advisors Funds Distributors, LLC (SSGA FD) acts solely as the marketing agent. SSGA FD and State Street Global Advisors are affiliated. Please note all AUM is unaudited.